

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/082,216	Applicant(s) IMAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Sam Chuan C. Yao	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(2) Mr. Warren Cheek.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Applicant's admitted prior art on pages 2-3 of the specification

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Participants agreed: a) to add the limitations in claims 23, 25 and to require a lamination speed of 150 m/min in claim 22, b) to require a lamination speed of 150 m/min in claim 39, and clarify 25 (i.e. aromatic rings concentration) to place the application in condition for allowance. .